

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/813,936	03/22/2001	Wayne Morgan John	713-409	1825	
	7590 09/17/2003				
Benjamin J. Hauptman LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·,			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

مولساها				787				
1 110		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del> </del>				
Advisory Action		09/813,936	JOHN ET AL.	<i>/</i>				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Alicia Chevalier	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d	) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
3.⊠	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7.🛛	∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,16,21 and 26</u> .							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: 37.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	Other:							
	<del></del>							

9/15/03

9/16/03





Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection of claims 15, 16, 21 and 26 of record in paper #9, page 3, paragraph #7 and the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of claims 15 and 16 over Harper et al. (5,077,117) of record in paper #9, page 3, paragraph #7. The 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection of claims 6-14, 17, 22, 24 and 31 of record in paper #9, page 3, paragraph #7 is moot since these claims have been cancelled. The 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejections of claims 6-14, 22, 35, 36, 38, 39, 43, 44 and 46 only of record in paper #9, pages 3-4, paragraphs #8 and #9 are moot since these claims have been cancelled. The 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejections of claims 6, 8, 24, 35, 40, 41, 42, and 45 only of record in paper #9, pages 4-6, paragraphs #10-#12 are moot since these claims have been cancelled.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It remains the Examiner's position that claim 37 is anticipated by Robbins, Jr. (4,340,633) and Harper et al. (5,077,117) of record in paper #9, pages 3-4, paragraphs #8 and #9 for reasons previously of record in the final office action, paper #9 mailed May 21, 2003.

HAROLD PYON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2